

Gerard Batten MEP
Speech given to the Bruges Group Conference
Saturday 4th November 2017

The title to today's conference is 'Deal or No Deal'.

But the whole idea of a 'deal' is built on a false premise. There is no such thing as the mythical 'good deal' that has been talked about incessantly since the Referendum result.

Any deal that is good for the EU is going to be bad for Britain. Any deal that is good for Britain is going to be politically unacceptable to Brussels.

Negotiations are always about trying to find a win-win position. Brussels has no 'win' position if Britain leaves. And that isn't about economics or the practicalities of border controls, or anything else. It's about the politics: the politics of ever closer integration.

The central guiding principle of the European Union has always been 'ever closer union'. The integration of politics, economics, finance, the military, and anything else you can think of, in order to build a centralised political state: A United States of Europe. In reality, if not yet in name.

The European Union has no incentive whatsoever to negotiate a 'good deal' with the UK. It simply does not want us to leave.

It does not want to lose our contributions to the EU budget. Usually the second or third largest.

It does not want to lose control of our international trade policy.

It does not want to lose control of our agricultural policy and markets.

It does not want to lose possession of our territorial waters and the fish stocks in them.

It does not want to lose control of making most of our laws.

It wants our borders to remain open to all of its citizens.

And if we leave it may well embolden other member states to seek better terms of membership.

It might also encourage their dissident political parties to agitate for their nations' exit from the EU, as indeed some already are.

Why would any intelligent and sincere British politician think that the EU will voluntarily agree to a deal that brings about an advantageous exit for Britain?

Such an exit can only be disadvantageous for the EU, given its ambitions.

There was never going to be a deal. There isn't going to be a deal.

Three years ago, I wrote a book entitled *The Road to Freedom*. This set out to explain how we could and should leave the EU.

At the time the Referendum was just a promise made by David Cameron, and I doubted that it would happen at all. I expected him to renege on it.

However, I wrote that if the Referendum did happen, and if the Leave vote won, leaving would not go unopposed.

I pointed out that under Article 50's two-year negotiation period we would see relentless political guerrilla warfare by our establishment and the media, to undermine, delay and impede the process.

I pointed out that under Article 50 the process could be delayed beyond the two-year period, and indeed the negotiations could be extended indefinitely.

The great danger, as I pointed out, was that our exit could be delayed up to or beyond the next general election, and that a new Parliament could claim that, under a fresh mandate, it could overturn the Referendum decision altogether.

I am sorry to say it but that is pretty much what has been unfolding since the 23rd June 2016.

David Cameron said he would enforce the Referendum decision. But when Remain lost, he resigned.

Mrs May did nothing until March this year when she finally invoked Article 50, having given the Remainers nine months to regroup and counter attack, which they did.

It wasn't until after the summer that David Davies was finally sent off to negotiate with Mr Barnier, who promptly told him the price of leaving was €100 billion euros.

Mrs May meekly accepts that Britain, one of the EU's best customers, will have to pay billions of pounds to the EU for the privilege of continuing to buy its products.

Mrs May laid out her vision in a speech in Florence 22nd September. And what is Mrs May's vision exactly?

She has said we are leaving the EU on 29th March 2019. We will pay it billions for privilege, but she is not yet sure how much that will be.

We will leave the Council, the Commission, and the European Parliament.

All EU-derived law will be incorporated into UK law. And we will still be subject to decision of the Court of Justice of the European Union, to a greater or lesser extent.

There were no grand plans for repealing laws, or for taking back control of our territorial waters and fishing grounds.

However, she did propose a new Security Treaty.

This treaty will tie us to the EU's military ambitions, and its foreign and security policy.

Under Mrs May's proposed treaty, we will be part of the EU's emerging European Armed Forces.

It also means we will continue to be tied to the EU's developing criminal justice system; and we will continue to be bound to its legal institutions, such as Europol, and its legal instruments, such as the infamous European Arrest Warrant.

And of course, there is the so-called transition period that will extend beyond March 2019 for an unknown period, and indeed could take us up to or even beyond the next general election.

Mrs May was a Remainer. She does not want to leave the EU. Most of her senior Ministers are Remainers. A large majority of both Houses of Parliament are Remainers.

What does Mrs May really plan? She wants to neutralise the internecine political warfare that has raged since we joined the EU.

I am sure she wants to see the demise of the UK Independence Party, because we gave those voters who genuinely want to leave the EU a party to vote for. We brought about the Referendum and we won it.

I believe that Mrs May intends to negotiate a Withdrawal Agreement whereby we leave in name but not in reality.

Yes, we will no longer sit in the Council, the Commission, or have members of the European Parliament, but nothing else will change very much.

And after a few years when things have died down, a Conservative or Labour government can announce it was all a big mistake and we are going to re-join.

But it doesn't have to be like this. How should we leave?

I have never said it was going to be easy to extricate ourselves from forty-four years of EU laws that have entangled us like some kind of legislative Japanese knot-weed.

But if it is not done boldly and decisively it will never be done at all.

In my book *The Road to Freedom* I laid out how we could and should leave. I expanded that into the *UKIP EU Exit Plan* published last June.

I want to describe what should have happened the week after the Referendum; it didn't, but even so, it will never be too late to do it.

Parliament should repeal the European Communities Act (1972) as the first step in the leaving process, not the last step.

The Repeal Bill would leave all EU-derived law temporarily in place. Indeed, Bill Cash wrote an excellent draft Bill which is Appendix I in my Exit Plan.

Having done this the Government should tell the European Union that we have left under our law. And that our Government and Parliament will now begin the process of repealing and amending those laws in accordance with our priorities and timescales.

We should offer the EU continued tariff-free trade with the freedom of movement of goods, services and capital, but not of people.

And we reserve the right to negotiate our own international trade deals. If that is unacceptable to the EU we will revert to trade on WTO terms. Take it or leave it.

Regarding EU citizens in the UK, and UK citizens in the EU, we should approach each of the other 27-member states unilaterally and offer them a reciprocal deal for existing citizens' rights under our respective immigration policies.

The EU could make an agreement with us, but it cannot enforce it in its member states.

We would of course withdraw from the Common Agricultural Policy and Common Fisheries Policy.

We should reclaim our territorial waters and fishing grounds. We could offer to sell fishing licenses to EU states for a limited period until our own fishing industry has been rebuilt.

We cannot possibly hope to negotiate our way out of tens of thousands of EU laws in two years, that is impossible. But we must reclaim the right to unilaterally amend or repeal those laws.

Some laws will need to be dealt with quickly, others of a purely technical nature can be put on the back burner, and may never need to be repealed.

All this could be done in a firm and friendly manner, assuring the EU that they would get a 'deal' that would enable us to co-operate for our mutual benefit, wherever possible.

We should make the EU an offer it cannot refuse. Not ask it for a deal it does not want to give.

I can tell you how it should be done, but there is one big drawback with my argument that I am fully aware of.

We don't have a patriotic Prime Minister, Government or Parliament that actually wants to do these things.

We are governed by traitors, quislings and defeatists that want us be subject to a foreign power, and they will do all they can to delay and impede Brexit in the hope that it can eventually be overturned.

And in that aim, they are ably aided and abetted by the broadcast media, and some of the press, that wage a relentless black propaganda campaign against Brexit.

There are of course some Ministers, some MPs, and some Members of the House of Lords that do sincerely want to leave the EU; but they are in a minority and don't have control of the situation.

So, what can be done? The only thing that really matters in politics is winning votes, and sometimes just taking votes from your opponents can change things.

The UK Independence Party brought about the Referendum because we were winning votes and taking them from the Conservative and Labour parties.

We were upsetting the cosy game of political musical chairs.

That threat forced David Cameron to promise the Referendum.

Now the threat has receded and the political establishment feel safe to betray the Referendum.

The only way we Leavers can be sure that we will actually leave the European Union is if we organise politically.

For me that means either UKIP reinvigorates itself and starts to take votes again, or Brexit will be lost.

Brexit either means a complete and unencumbered exit from the European Union or it means nothing at all.

END